

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	Э.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/688,497		10/17/2003	Brett D. Whitmer	03004812US .	1970
25096	7590	02/02/2005		EXAMINER	
PERKIN	S COIE I	LLP	NGUYEN, TRINH T		
PATENT- P.O. BOX				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SEATTLE	E, WA 9	8111-1247	3644		
				DATE MAILED: 02/02/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

- 1				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/688,497	WHITMER ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
_	`,	Trinh T Nguyen	3644	
Peri	The MAILING DATE of this communication app od for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
-	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period versilure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
State	us			
1	I)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 N	ovember 2004.		
	•	action is non-final.		
_	Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	nce except for formal matters, pro		
Disp	osition of Claims			
5 6	Claim(s) 1-26,31 and 32 is/are pending in the at 4a) Of the above claim(s) 14-20,31 and 32 is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-13 and 21-26 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	re withdrawn from consideration.		
App	lication Papers			
9	9) $\square$ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10	D) $igotimes$ The drawing(s) filed on <u>17 October 2003</u> is/are:	a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected	to by the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	<del>-</del> , ,	, ,	
11	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct  1) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•	
Prio	rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
	2) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
• • •				
_	hment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO_413)	
2)	Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite	
	Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)	
	1 - 1 T - 1 1 OF	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		_

Art Unit: 3644

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's election without traverse of claims 1-13, and 21-26 in the reply filed on 11/4/04 is acknowledged.
- 2. Claims 14-20, 31, and 32 have been withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-13, and 21-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's Admitted Prior Art (as set forth in paragraphs [0002] to [0006] and Figures 1 & 2; hereinafter is referred to as AAPA).

For claims 1, 21, 23 and 24, AAPA discloses an aircraft system comprising: a wing; an external structure positioned proximate to the wing; and a fitting attached to the wing and configured approximately all of the primary loads from the external structure to the wing, the primary loads including pitch loads and side loads, the unitary fitting including a first portion (221a, 221b) and a second portion (224a, 224b), the first portion having at least a first attach feature (bore on 221a) and the second portion having at

Tagoria di Marribor: Toroco,

Art Unit: 3644

least a second attach feature (bore on 224a) spaced apart from the first attach feature, the first attach feature being configured to transfer at least a portion of the pitch loads from the external structure to the wing, and the second attach feature being configured to transfer at least a portion of the side loads from the external structure to the wing.

AAPA discloses most of the claimed invention except for indicating that the fitting is unitary or formed by fixedly attaching two portions together. However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the fitting of AAPA as one unit, since it has been held that forming in one piece/unit an article which has formerly been formed in two pieces and put together involves only routine skill in the art.

For claim 2, AAPA further discloses the wing includes a front spar (211), and wherein the first and second portions of the fitting are configured to be fixedly attached at least proximate to the front spar.

For claims 3 and 22, AAPA further discloses the wing includes a front spar (211) and a wing skin portion (205) extending aft from the front spar, wherein the first portion of the fitting is configured to be fixedly attached at least proximate to the front spar, and wherein the second portion of the fitting is configured to be fixedly attached at least proximate to the wing skin portion.

For claim 4, AAPA further discloses the first portion of the fitting further includes a third attach feature (bore on 221b) spaced apart from the first attach feature and coupled to the external structure, the third attach feature configured to transfer at least a portion of the pitch loads from the external structure to the wing.

Art Unit: 3644

structure.

For claim 5, AAPA further discloses the first portion of the fitting further includes a third attach feature (bore on 221b) spaced apart from the first attach feature (bore on 221a), wherein the first attach feature includes a first bore coupled to the external structure and the third attach feature includes a second bore coupled to the external structure, and wherein the second portion of the fitting further includes a fourth attach feature (bore on 224b) spaced apart from the second attach feature (bore on 224a), wherein the second attach feature includes a third bore coupled to the external structure and the fourth attach feature includes a fourth bore coupled to the external

For claim 6, AAPA discloses most of the claimed invention except for indicating that the third and fourth bores are at least generally aligned along a common axis. However, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice wherein no stated problem is solved, or any new or unexpected result achieved to have the third and fourth bores aligned along a common axis versus the third and fourth bores aligned in different axis as taught by AAPA. Furthermore, one of ordinary skill in the art would have expected applicant's invention to perform equally well with the third and fourth bores aligned in different axis.

For claim 7, AAPA further discloses the first portion of the fitting further includes a third attach feature spaced apart from the first attach feature, wherein the first attach feature includes a first bore coupled to the external structure and the third attach feature includes a second bore coupled to the external structure, and wherein the second portion of the fitting further includes a fourth attach feature spaced apart from the

Art Unit: 3644

Poortion Hambon: 107000, 4

second attach feature, wherein the second attach feature includes a third bore coupled to the external structure and the fourth attach feature includes a fourth bore coupled to the external structure, further wherein the first, second, third and fourth bores are at least generally parallel.

For claims 8 and 9, AAPA further discloses the external structure includes an engine (106) (note that AAPA's engine can be considered as a propulsive engine or a turbo-fan engine).

For claims 10-12, AAPA discloses most of the claimed invention except for indicating that the external structure includes a fuel tank or a weapons system or a cargo hold. It is notoriously well known in the art of aircraft to provide such external structure in order to realize the benefits thereof (i.e. the fuel tank as a source of fuel, the weapons system as a source of weapon, and the cargo as a source of hold goods). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the external structure of AAPA so as to include a fuel tank or a weapons system or a cargo hold, since it is notoriously well known in the art of aircraft to have the external structure includes a fuel tank or a weapons system or a cargo hold in order to realize the benefits thereof (i.e. the fuel tank as a source of fuel, the weapons system as a source of weapon, and the cargo as a source of hold goods).

For claim 13, AAPA further discloses a fuselage, wherein the wing extends outwardly from the fuselage.

Art Unit: 3644

For claim 25, AAPA further discloses the first portion of the body further includes a third attach feature (bore on 221b) configured to be coupled to the external structure, and the second portion of the body further includes a fourth attach feature (bore on 224b) configured to be coupled to the external structure, wherein the first attach feature includes a first bore and the third attach feature includes a second bore spaced apart from the first bore, the first and second bores being at least generally parallel (noted that the first bore is parallel to the second bore based on the axes drawn through those bores, see attached Figure 2 of AAPA for further explanation), and wherein the second attach feature includes a third bore and the fourth attach feature includes a fourth bore spaced apart from the third bore, the third and fourth bores being at least generally parallel (noted that the third bore is parallel to the fourth bore based on the axes drawn through those bores, see attached Figure 2 of AAPA for further explanation).

For claim 26, AAPA further discloses the body includes at least one crack inhibiting mechanism positioned adjacent to at least one of the first and second attach features, wherein the crack inhibiting mechanism is configured to arrest crack propagation from proximate to one of the first and second attach features to proximate the other one of the first and second attach features (lines 3-9 of col. 10 of the spefication indicated that the crack inhibiting mechanism is well known in the art).

Application/Control Number: 10/688,497 Page 7

Art Unit: 3644

### Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Trinh T Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 306-9082. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9:30 A.M to 6:00 P.M).

The examiner's supervisor, Teri Luu can be reached on (703) 305-7421. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Trinh T Nguyen Patent Ex. Art Unit 3644 1/31/05